The Road to November

On November 6, 2012 we will stand at a historic crossroad the importance of which must not be taken lightly. On that date after over a century of political bickering, failed stakeholder groups, testimony to managing agencies, and stalled legislative efforts, voters of Oregon will have an opportunity to cut through the bureaucracy and decide if using gill nets as a method of Salmon harvest is compatible with our state’s national reputation for sustainable practices and policies especially with regards to natural resource management.

How the Oregon Initiative Process Works

In Oregon qualifying a ballot initiative is a series of steps that, while time consuming, must each be undertaken if the measure is to appear on the ballot. Ballot qualification is definitely a process of deadlines, time frames, and the ever-popular hurry up and wait. From the proponent’s perspective the goal is to end up with an initiative that accomplishes your goal and a ballot title that reflects your intent while clearly relaying the principal change the measure is proposing so that a voter is informed and not confused. It is further important from a proponent’s perspective to accomplish all of the above as quickly as possible.

Opponents, on the other hand, strive to the best of their ability, to disrupt the entire process so that it takes the maximum allowable amount of time. Their goal is to either kill the effort or end up with a ballot title that is so confusing that potential voters are likely to vote no. Success for opponents involves dragging this process out as long as possible, leaving as little time as possible for signature gathering before the July deadline.

As confusing and protracted as the qualifying process can be it is critical that as proponents we realize the critical nature of this phase. Initiative experts tell us that 50% of all initiatives in the state of Oregon lose any chance of success during the qualifying process. A bad ballot title, one that fails to clearly reflect the intent and result of the measure, has little or no chance of success as it is generally believed that a confused voter is likely to vote no.

A seemingly endless process of deadlines, appeals, legal briefs, coalition building and signature gathering has finally resulted in successfully getting the measure on the ballot! Now the real work begins.

What’s needed in order for a gill net ban to pass?

The first and most important thing we can do to make this happen is learn from our past mistakes and strive not to repeat them. Net bans have been attempted in the past and failed for a variety of reasons none of which reflect merit. If we are to succeed we must all be fully committed and ensure that those mistakes are not repeated.

In 1992 a measure appeared on the Oregon ballot, through the initiative process, with the intent to ban gillnets. The ballot title however “restricts lower Columbia fish harvest to most selective means available.”

was confusing and supporters made the mistake of thinking everyone was aware of the gill net issue, understood what the title meant and felt the same way about gill nets they did. After a huge mostly volunteer effort to get the measure on the ballot they only spent $2,500 on the entire campaign. Needless to say the proponent’s media campaign was non-existent while gill netters filled the air wave’s right before the election with ads promising social collapse in small communities and a complete lack a salmon availability at every market and restaurant in the state. This coupled with a ballot title that confused voters resulted in a loss at the ballot box.

In 1995 recreational anglers in Washington made their own attempt and while their effort was better funded than the earlier Oregon effort their failure to consider how important ballot titles are and how critical polling can be led to a measure that banned all nets, lack of support from even some recreational angling and conservation groups as overly restrictive and defeat at the ballot box.

The good news we have learned from history and taken the steps to ensure that these past mistakes are not repeated. CCA Oregon and its grass roots army of volunteers have worked diligently at fundraising efforts since we began in 2007. These efforts have allowed us to hire exceptional staff and when necessary seek the most qualified outside experts available. This team has carefully drafted initiative language and submitted well thought out challenges and appeals when necessary. All this to ensure any measure moving forward is as well thought out as possible and receives a ballot title that most clearly reflects the intent of the measure.

Recreational anglers and conservationist concerned with unsustainable fishing practices far out number the gillnet industry. The gillnetters are well-funded, often earning thousands of dollars in a single night of netting, but there are only about one hundred active gillnetters while there are over 300,000 Columbia River recreational anglers and many more citizens who care about sustainable fishing practices. Recreational anglers around the country have a wonderful reputation for standing up for the resource and I’m confident the same will hold true for Oregon.

The stakes are high. The outcome could forever change the way recreational anglers and conservation groups are viewed by managers and legislators in Oregon.
Jack Smith  
Oregon CCA President

As you are reading this newsletter the Stop Gill Nets Now campaign is in high gear raising necessary funds to ensure a victory at the ballot box in November. A heroic effort by a multitude of signature gatherers during the last week of the campaign ensured that the measure qualified and will indeed appear on the Oregon ballot on November 6th 2012 giving Oregonians the chance to confirm our states reputation as a leader in sustainable practices particularly with regards to our natural resources. We as volunteers once again hold the key to making this a successful effort.

To ensure a positive outcome from our perspective it is important that we all do what we can by contributing funds, soliciting others to provide funds, and educating those around us about the importance of voting yes when the time comes. We have gone to great lengths hired the best professionals and participated in the process all to arrive at this historic chance to remove gill nets from the inland waters of Oregon and forever change how conservationists and recreational anglers are viewed by managing agencies and the political system the are accountable to. This is our opportunity to ensure that our children and grandchildren will not only enjoy the opportunities we have enjoyed but perhaps opportunity as we have never imagined.

We must not forget that it is a politically entrenched formidable opponent we face who will do everything in their considerable power to retain their hold with managing agencies and within the political system.

Our opponents first stalled the process with an unprecedented number of challenges and said they had done such a good job we would be unable to navigate the minefield they had created. CCA Oregon, thanks to our highly qualified professional staff, answered and won every challenge resulting in a ballot title that is very close to perfect. Our opponents then told everyone that while we were successful during the ballot title process it had left us with insufficient time and we would be unable to gather signatures or afford paid gathers in sufficient numbers to qualify, we did both and hired a top notch group of campaign managers to help us navigate the process.

Our opponents are now saying that although the measure is qualified CCA Oregon does not have the ability or resolve to win at the ballot box especially since they and their political backers will fight to the end to ensure we fail.

The Board approved funding last month and even added money to help with tagging and monitoring of the released fish to improve science based understanding of how we can have both increased fish returns for catch and keep opportunities and protections for wild salmon. We applaud the effort of our local volunteers, ODFW staff and the R&E Board.

GRC Update

The GRC’s first priority without a doubt is removing gillnets from the Columbia River and continuing to support Measure 81 (the gillnet ban) in every way possible. CCA’s work to stop gillnetting is well described in this newsletter’s front-page feature and in our President’s report. Despite this focus on Columbia River gillnetting, your GRC has been working on several other issues important to conservation and Oregon recreational anglers:

**Molalla River Brood Pond Project**

CCA began last winter working on implementing a “brood pond” on the Molalla River and asked the Recovery and Enhancement Board of ODFW to participate in helping to fund the project. We worked closely with the Regional Biologist from ODFW and through personal contacts between our members who have lived in the area for many decades were able to secure a suitable location.

Hatchery Spring Chinook smolts have been “dumped” into the Molalla for many years, they transferred smolts from hatchery facilities on other rivers and pumped them directly into the Molalla for release. The purpose of this rearing pond is to acclimate the smolts to a location (creek) that feeds into the Molalla and helps to imprint these fish to return to a specific area. This reduces straying and interaction with native stocks further up river and increases the number of hatchery fish that return to the Molalla River for recreational anglers. It was a recommendation made during the Upper Willamette River Recovery Plan approved by NMFS recently.

CCA recognizes this as a solid local project to enhance fisheries by improving the efficacy of hatcheries, while helping to reduce interaction between hatchery and native salmon.

Hundreds of our local members who fish the Molalla wrote letters and asked the R&E Board to approve funding for the project.

The 3 Things You Can Do to Help Ensure Victory in November

This is an historic moment for conservationists and recreational anglers in the Pacific Northwest. Getting on the ballot is just the first step. Winning an election is a major challenge, but CCA will continue to play a critical role in the coming months. Here are several ways that CCA members can help:

... continued on page 4
CCA – Myths and Misconceptions

Stan Brogdon
Washington CCA President

I hear and read so many things about what CCA is and isn’t, it’s often hard to decide whether to laugh, roll my eyes, or use both hands to keep my jaw from hitting the floor. Much of this outlandishness is provided by those who wish we would just go away. It’s often thrown about by people who willingly tell you how to solve all of the worlds’ fisheries problems, but refuse to do what is good for the fish if it means any level of sacrifice on their part; the typical NIMBY (not in my backyard) response. CCA is lots of things to lots of different people, and our work in one area might mean the world to some members while many others could care less. The bottom line is that we are a grassroots organization driven by the very members that supply and support our existence. Our work is guided by our position statements, all of which were crafted, refined, and approved by CCA members who participate on various CCA committees and boards.

What’s most intriguing to me is that many of the misperceptions about CCA are the polar opposite of other misperceptions. Listed below are a few of those myths, and the simple truth about them. Many of these are abbreviated and simplistic answers to often-complicated issues, but they are still the truth.

Myth—We only care about HATCHERY fish, to the detriment of everything else
Fact—If there are going to be continued fisheries in the NW for salmon and steelhead, hatcheries are going to play a major role in supporting them. We do support the responsible use of hatcheries to provide fish for harvest and recreational opportunity.

Myth—We are only concerned with WILD fish, to the detriment of everything else
Fact—When the wild fish are gone, ALL of the fish will soon follow. Wild fish are remarkable creatures that deserve protection. They also are the origin for hatchery fish. If we lose the fight to recover the wild salmon and steelhead populations it’s just a matter of time before we lose hatchery fish too.

Myth—CCA is trying to end all commercial fishing
Fact—Nonsense. Sport fishermen and women have continually made changes to the way they fish over the years to lessen their impact on the fish. Whether it’s shorter seasons, bait restrictions, hook size requirements, sanctuary closures, not removing wild fish from the water, or any number of other things; sport fishermen continue to step up to the plate in the name of helping reduce their impact on these fish. It is past time that the commercial industry did the same. There are better ways to harvest fish commercially than what is currently legal in the Columbia River. Using a smaller mesh gillnet and calling it something else (the famed ‘tangle net’) isn’t progress...it’s lipstick on a pig.

Myth—CCA only cares about harvest and ignores other factors of salmon recovery.
Fact—The downfall, or at a minimum lack of effectiveness, of many organizations is that they try to be everything to everybody. The truer we keep our focus on select issues, the more energy we can expend toward each issue and hopefully, the more effective we’ll be. A wise man once said, an organization can focus on one or two things at a time and get them done or focus on 10 things and get none of them done. CCA’s leadership understands that there’s no silver bullet and that harvest reform alone is not going to miraculously recover our fish. However, harvest reform is one of the areas that is within our power to fix and will contribute significantly to wild fish recovery while allowing for improved sport fishing opportunity.

CCA Scores Major Legislative Victories

CCA Washington’s efforts in the 2012 legislative session paid big dividends for recreational anglers. Senator Derek Kilmer, Chair of Senate Capital Budget Committee, summed up the final day of the legislative session by proclaiming, “It’s a good day for recreational fishermen.” That was quite an understatement!

The final day actually went through the night winding up at 7:30am the following morning, and when the dust settled recreational anglers secured several major victories resulting in the most successful legislative session in several decades.

These successes were no accident! Special thanks are in order for the many Government Relations Committee members that engaged in this process both evaluating and prioritizing legislation, and working with their elected officials to advocate our interests. We also owe recognition for the extraordinary efforts by our Regional Advocacy Director Heath Heikilla and lobbyist Scott Sigmon. However, none of these successes are possible without the grassroots involvement of our membership calling their elected officials and sending thousands of e-mails, leveraging the efforts of our committee and professionals. So, Thank You for participating in the process!

The following is a list of the issues and bills that were successfully lobbied by CCA.

WDFW Operating Budget and Protecting Recreational License Fees

There were a number of proposals to raid recreational license fee revenue from the State Wildlife Account to cover General Fund responsibilities, including for hatcheries that largely benefit commercial fishing interests. Many CCA members and our lobbyist worked hard to contact Legislators in opposition to these unfair proposals and to defend recreational license (hunting and fishing) fee-derived revenue.

We are happy to report that the final Supplemental Budget approved by the Legislature did not raid the Wildlife Account. Instead, the Legislature used other natural resource accounts to maintain the hatchery production. Also, the final budget did not include the proposal to shift $3 million from the Regional Fisheries Enhancement Group (RFEG).

Derelict Gear

Another issue that was a priority for the recreational angling community was the passage of Senate Bill 5661, which institutes a mandatory reporting requirement for lost and derelict fishing gear. The current law merely “encouraged” this reporting and clearly failed as unreported lost nets continued to pile up in Puget Sound. CCA members Bear Holmes and Rob Tobec helped inspire the introduction of the legislation and were part of the grassroots army that helped pass it into law! The legislation requires commercial fisherman to report lost fishing gear to WDFW within 24 hours of loss and is a key first step towards protecting our marine waters and rivers from these silent killers!

Capital Budget Funding for WDFW Hatchery and Fisheries Infrastructure

The last bill to pass the Legislature was a supplemental Capital Budget and it represents a enormous victory for recreational anglers! For the first time in decades (since 1977 actually), our fisheries will benefit from what is maybe the largest infusion of Capital Budget... continued on page 4
Oregon President’s Message, Continued

Contributing Funds

This is a grassroots campaign that relies on the contributions of supporters from around the state. Every contribution helps! Please give what you can!

This is definitely a case of no contribution is too small or for that matter large to make a significant difference. Part of what we have accomplished so far as CCA Oregon is the building of a large membership giving us strength in numbers. Those numbers allow us to turn even small donations into significant funding when they are pooled together. We must take advantage of that fact as most of the states that have successfully banned gill nets were able to do. Start piling up $5, $20, $50 dollar donations and very soon the amount contributed is significant. Those able to contribute more only add to the total until we are a force to be reckoned with. Sounds good however the other side is counting on, as in the past, our numbers not showing up in the form of that kind of financial support. Our opponents are counting on once again being able to buy the election. We can’t let that happen this time!

Grow the grassroots army and Feed the machine.

Over 200 volunteers from around the state – many of them CCA members - helped collect signatures. The campaign qualified for the ballot with over 94,000 valid signatures. There was also a large paid signature gathering effort also, but we would not have made the ballot without the support of our volunteers.

While we need to be at the center of a tremendous grassroots effort to pass this measure, it does not supersede the importance of making sure that CCA Oregon remains a healthy and viable organization for the long term. CCA must continue to solicit members, conduct successful banquets, and grow our grassroots army to succeed now and into the future.

As this campaign moves forward, we must make sure that fundraising, membership, and communications do not lag behind but keep pace and benefit from the excitement created by this effort.

Every committee and every member will play an important role with our successful effort to finally ban the use of gillnets in our state. The same resolve that allowed us to be in our current position will continue to build, giving voice for the conservationist, recreational angler and most importantly the resource we all hold dear.

The gillnet lobby has underestimated our ability at every turn since CCA Oregon was formed. We only need to make sure they are wrong one more time and the use of gillnets will be a thing of the past viewed only in a museum.

Stay Informed & Spread the Word

Go to the campaign web site (stopgillnetting.com) and sign up for campaign updates.

We have gone to great lengths to hire the best professionals to arrive at this historic chance to remove gill nets from the inland waters of Oregon and forever change how conservationists and recreational anglers are viewed by elected officials and other stakeholders.

This is our opportunity to ensure that our children and grandchildren will not only enjoy the opportunities we have enjoyed but perhaps opportunity as we have never imagined.

GRC Update, Continued

Construction dollars ever dedicated to restoring Washington State’s fisheries infrastructure. A great deal of gratitude goes out to the Capital Budget writers, Representatives Hans Dunshee and Judy Warnick and Senators Derek Kilmer and Linda Evans Parlette for their commitment to this issue. Politically, this was a very heavy lift for them!

Over $66 million will be parceled out to WDFW over the next 18 months primarily for hatchery and fish passage way improvements, as well as fishing access sites. Most of these projects will directly benefit recreational angling and the conservation of our fisheries. CCA and PSA led the charge to secure this funding and cited a number of studies showing that recreational fishing is a major engine for Washington’s economy.

Thanks to all those CCA members that stepped up and contacted their legislators on these issues. In the heat of the battle, it is YOUR voice that matters most!!
It’s Time for a Return on our Investment in Salmon Recovery

Bryan Irwin
Washington Executive Director

Anyone who manages money will tell you that a good investment yields a return. Even in this market, people still expect to see gains on their investments – unless, of course, that money is spent on salmon recovery. Let’s take a look at the numbers.

In 2010 Bonneville Power Administration’s (BPA) fish and wildlife expenditure was $802 million. The people of the northwest bear the burden of this cost whether or not they fish, eat fish or have ever seen a fish. If you’re like me, it’s hard to put $802 million into context, so consider that this amounts to 30% of what local public utilities pay BPA for their power, and this cost is passed on to the local ratepayer, raising your electric bill by approximately 20%. That’s right – 20% of your electric bill goes toward funding salmon recovery in the Columbia River. This increased cost is passed along in everything made or manufactured in the northwest that requires power for its production.

I’m not questioning the amount of this investment, or suggesting it’s too much. After all, hydroelectric projects have a significant impact on fish. The question is whether the citizens and businesses of the northwest are getting the maximum return on their collective investment. Hydro projects are but one factor affecting fish, and this cost is passed on to the local ratepayer, raising your electric bill by approximately 20%. That is right – 20% of your electric bill goes toward funding salmon recovery in the Columbia River. This increased cost is passed along in everything made or manufactured in the northwest that requires power for its production.

In 2000 the US Congress established the Hatchery Scientific Review Group (HSRG) to analyze the role of hatchery and harvest reforms in the recovery of Columbia River salmon. HSRG scientists concluded that the results from current investment in habitat could be doubled through hatchery and harvest reforms. The key component to attaining this “doubling effect” in our investment is implementing selective fisheries, which harvest a higher percentage of hatchery fish while allowing for the live release of endangered wild fish.

Anglers have been concerned about the use of gillnets in the lower Columbia for decades, but it’s time for the non-angler to share this concern since everyone is impacted by these costs. Gillnets are a non-selective method of harvest, going directly against the HSRG recommendations for maximizing our region’s return on our salmon investment. While gillnetters like to focus on their spring tangle net fishery that is arguably selective, the truth is that this only accounts for 5% or less of the lower Columbia commercial landings. 95% of the current commercial harvest is completely non-selective, killing endangered wild fish at the same rate as hatchery fish.

If heeding the advice of the best available science in salmon recovery isn’t enough to eliminate gillnets, this harvest method is also terribly inefficient from an economic perspective. In 2010, commercial gillnetters landed 1.6 million round pounds of salmon, for a total ex-vessel value of $3.7 million dollars, or $2.29 per pound. The average weight (blended for Coho and Chinook) is 12.141 pounds resulting in an average sale price of only $27.80 per fish. Remember, the recovery expenditure that we all end up paying is $340 per salmon.

How can we continue to ask our Pacific Northwest citizens and businesses to foot the tremendous price tag for salmon recovery while we continue to allow an economically inefficient commercial fishery to string thousands of feet of gillnet across the river, completely ignoring the advice of the best available science? If this were your money (oh wait, it is) would you change methods if it resulted in doubling the return on your investment? Eliminating gillnets from the lower Columbia is sound economic – and environmental – stewardship of our region’s salmon, and we need to seize the opportunity before us to maximize our investment in this valuable resource.

Membership!

“It’s Time for a Return on our Investment in Salmon Recovery”

“Our first, second and third priorities for the year are membership, membership and membership” proclaimed a board member at a recent CCA board meeting. Simply put, recreational anglers should be one of the most powerful and influential voting blocks in the state, yet due to disorganization we are generally taken for granted by lawmakers. As you know, CCA has made tremendous progress in the legislature with our thousands of active and engaged members. However, if our membership were measured in the tens of thousands there would be no stopping us. Between Oregon and Washington there are over 1.5 million anglers. If only 5% joined CCA we’d have over 75,000 members and a voice that could not be ignored. It’s time to take it to the next level and for every CCA member to dig in and help grow membership. This is an area where YOU as a member can truly make a difference. Set a goal of signing up 5 new members this month and it will make a huge difference.

Twenty-Five dollars is a very small price to pay to have a voice in the management of your marine resources.

Sign up a new member today!

Membership!
Membership!
Membership!
**OREGON CHAPTERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter Location</th>
<th>President</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Meeting Info</th>
<th>Banquet Date</th>
<th>Banquet Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CENTRAL COAST</strong></td>
<td>Chuck Pavlik</td>
<td>541-563-5965</td>
<td>3rd Thursday, Monthly, 6pm</td>
<td>September 24, 2011</td>
<td>The Newport Elks Lodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COLUMBIA COUNTY</strong></td>
<td>Ed Rabinowe</td>
<td>503-366-3565</td>
<td>2nd Tuesday, Monthly, 7pm</td>
<td>April 30, 2011</td>
<td>Columbia County Events Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMERALD EMPIRE - EUGENE/SPRINGFIELD</strong></td>
<td>John Nagy</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jnagy@clipper.net">jnagy@clipper.net</a></td>
<td>3rd Wednesday, Monthly, 7pm</td>
<td>August 20, 2011</td>
<td>Eugene Rod, Reel &amp; Tackle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOUNT HOOD - GRESHAM</strong></td>
<td>Wade Radke</td>
<td>971-322-5894</td>
<td>Every even month 2nd Wednesday, 6:30 pm</td>
<td>June 8th, 2011</td>
<td>Persimmons Country Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PORTLAND METRO</strong></td>
<td>John Zell</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jzell@cephyr.net">jzell@cephyr.net</a></td>
<td>1st Wednesday of Every Odd Month, 7 pm</td>
<td>October 7, 2011</td>
<td>Double Tree Hotel 1000 NE Multnomah St.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **DESHUTES RIVER - BEND CHAPTER** | Ryan Buccola | 541-728-8551 | | | **ROGUE VALLEY CHAPTER**
| **MEDFORD AND SURROUNDING AREA** | Steve Nelson | steven2873@gmail.com | 4th Tuesday, Every Month 6pm doors open, 7pm meeting Bruno’s Pizza 2105 Roberts Rd, Medford, OR | May 21st, 2011 | Medford Eagles |
| **SALEM** | Brian Canini | bbc0798@comcast.net | 4th Thursday, Monthly, 6:30 pm Keizer Civic Center 930 Chemawa Rd NE Keizer, OR 97303 | March 17, 2012 | Salem Conference Center |
| **TILLAMOOK** | Jack Smith | JackandTina@centurylink.net | 3rd Mon. of Each Month, 7pm Tillamook Office of the ODFW 4907 3rd Street Tillamook, OR 97141 | TBA, 2012 | Tillamook Elks Lodge |
| **TUALATIN VALLEY** | Steve Bailey | tuitionvalley@ccapnw.org | 3rd Mon. of Each Month, 7pm Beaverton Resource Center 12500 SW Allen Blvd. Beaverton, OR 97005 | May 14th, 2011 | Embassy Suites Washington Square |
| **WILD RIVERS COAST** | Randall Nord | wildriverscoast@ccapnw.org | 2nd Wed. Every Month, 6pm St. Timothy’s Episcopal Church 401 Fir St., Brookings, OR | TBA 2011 | **WILLAMETTE FALLS**
| Chapter Location | Portland | jzell@zephyr.net | 1st Wednesday of Every Odd Month, 7 pm | October 7, 2011 | TBA 2012 |
| Chapter Location | Jack Smith | JackandTina@centurylink.net | 3rd Mon. of Each Month, 7pm | May 14th, 2011 | 606 15 St., Oregon City, OR |
| Chapter Location | Mike Herbel | tuualatinvalley@ccapnw.org | 3rd Mon. of Each Month, 7pm | May 14th, 2011 | **OREGON LEADERSHIP**
| Chapter Location | Gary Loomis – Chairman Emeritus | | | | **The Ripple Effect Staff**
| Chapter Location | Dave Schamp – Chairman | | | | WA COMMUNICATIONS CHAIR
| Chapter Location | Jack Smith – President | | | | John Wicklund
| Chapter Location | Bruce Polley – Vice President | | | | OR COMMUNICATIONS CHAIR
| Chapter Location | Dale Ballard – Vice President | | | | John Zell
| Chapter Location | Mike Herbel – Vice President & Management Committee Chair | | | | LAYOUT
| Chapter Location | Ken Chambers – Membership Chair | | | | Tracey Ullom
| Chapter Location | John Zell – Communications & Marketing Chair | | | | www.PurpleSailCreative.com
| Chapter Location | Lynn Buerer – Treasurer | | | | Comments or questions? Please contact: editor@ccapnw.org
| Chapter Location | Christy Zell – Secretary | | | |
Association Chapter and Get Involved!

WASHINGTON CHAPTERS

SNO-KING
Established: January 2008
Chapter Location: Woodinville
President: Carl Rienstra
Contact: snoking@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly – 4th Thursday, 7 pm
Banquet Date: TBA - Fall 2011
Banquet Location: Woodinville, WA 98072

NORTH SNOHOMISH COUNTY
Established: September 2008
Chapter Location: Marysville
President: Errol Collins
Contact: northsnohomish@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly – 2nd Tuesday, 7 pm
Banquet Date: TBA, 2012
Banquet Location: Marysville, WA 98230

NORTH SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON
Established: December 2009
Chapter Location: Lacey
President: John Wicklund – Communications Chair
Contact: glenjohnston@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly – 2nd Tuesday, 6:30 pm
Banquet Date: Saturday, May 21, 2011
Banquet Location: Apple Annies, Cashmere, WA

SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON
Established: October 2007
Chapter Location: Camas
President: Glen Johnston
Contact: swashington@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly – 2nd Tuesday, 7 pm
Banquet Date: TBA, 2012
Banquet Location: Hilton, Vancouver

NORTH SOUN
Established: April 2008
Chapter Location: Bellingham
President: Marcus Schumacher
Contact: seatac@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly – 1st Thursday, 7 pm
Banquet Date: TBA, 2012
Banquet Location: Holiday Inn, Everett

NORTH OLYMPIC PENINSULA
Established: April 2010
Chapter Location: Sequim
President: John Albiso
Contact: noco@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly
Banquet Date: Friday, June 17th, 2011 – 5 pm
Banquet Location: John Wayne Marina, Sequim

TRI-CITIES
Established: January 2008
Chapter Location: Richland
President: Brad Schilperoort
Contact: tricities@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Even Months
Banquet Date: TBA, 2012
Banquet Location: Shilo Inn, Richland

YAKIMA
Established: November 2007
Chapter Location: Yakima
President: Mike Hammond
Contact: yakima@ccapnw.org
Meeting Info: Monthly – 2nd Wednesday, 7 pm
Banquet Date: TBA - Fall 2011

PNW Regional Office
1006 W. 11th Street
Vancouver, WA 98660
877-255-8772

WASHINGTON LEADERSHIP
Gary Loomis – Chairman Emeritus
Matt Olson – Chairman
Stan Brogdon – President
Andy Marks – Vice President, Vice Chair GRC
Dale Scott – Vice President
Marcus Schumacher – Vice President, Chapter Development Chair
Lance Barrett – Treasurer
Joe Slepiski – Secretary
Gary Johnson – Nominations Committee Chair
Joseph Madrano – Membership Committee Chair
Ed Wickersham – GRC Chair
John Wicklund – Communications Chair
Coastal Conservation Association Membership Application

MEMBER INFORMATION

☐ Renewal Dues  ☐ New Membership

Name ___________________________________________________________

Associate Member Name(s) (if applicable) ____________________________

Member ID# (if renewing) __________________________________________

Address _________________________________________________________

City-State __________________________ Zip ______________

Phone ___________________________ Email ___________________________

Gift Membership From: ____________________________________________

☐ $25 MEMBER: Membership card, window decal, 2 bumper stickers, TIDE magazine.

☐ $100 MEMBER: All of the above plus CCA print of your choice

☐ $15 ASSOCIATE: Per each family member. All member privileges except TIDE. Send names.

☐ $200 SPONSOR: Bronze lapel pin, print, plus member gifts.

☐ $500 PATRON: Silver lapel pin, print, plus member gifts.

☐ $1,000 LIFE MEMBER: Life Member display piece, print, plus member gifts. Quarterly payment option available.

☐ $10 NEW TIDE: Rising Tide newsletter, three NEW TIDE logo decals, iron-on T-shirt transfer, redfish & speckled trout sticker. For members 17 and younger.

METHOD OF PAYMENT

☐ Cash Enclosed  

☐ Check or Money Order Enclosed  

☐ Mastercard  ☐ Amex  

☐ Visa  ☐ Discover

Credit Card # __________________________

Exp. Date __________________________

Signature __________________________

Associate Member Name __________________________________________

Complete this form and send to: Coastal Conservation Association

1006 W. 11th Street
Vancouver, WA 98660

Ph. 877-255-8772
Ph. 360-694-4300

JoinCCA.org / CCAPNW.org